http://stores.ebay.com/Ancestry-Found

http://stores.ebay.com/Ancestry-Found

0 011 897 819 5

THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE.

http://stores.ebay.com/Ancestry-Found

SKETCH OF THE REMARKS

OF

JAMES O. PUTNAM,

UPON THE

NEBRASKA RESOLUTIONS.

IN SENATE OF STATE OF N. YORK, FEB. 3, 1854.

Mr. PUTNAM said: Mr. President—I eannot after months of discussion, and of patrioti concur with the sentiment that the introduction labor and sacrifice, their work was accomplishof this subject is untimely, and legislative ac-ed, the Constitution presented to the States. In tion is unnecessary. I think, that in the history the language of Washington, it was framed in of the question connected with these resolutions, the spirit of "amity and compromise." New York has a vital interest. I think the State of New York would be false to herself, false to vided she could be protected in her slave proher past legislation on the questions which con-perty by the restoration of her fugitive slaves. vulsed the country to its centre, when Missouri She also insisted upon a representation of her asked admission into the Union, if she did not slave property, in Congress. Although the now, thirty-four years after that action, at a moral sense of the North was against it, she time when all good faith is to be wantonly sacri-yielded to the first demand, and she yielded the ficed and trampled on, if she did not most em-second for an equivalent in the matter of taxa-phatically rebuke this project of the Senator tion. These questions at rest, the Constitution from Illinois. I am unwilling to have these res-was presented to the States for ratification. olutions disposed of quite so summarily, and Here ensued another, and for a long time, upon a silent vote.

Union of these States. All free government is the ernment in its own proper beauty, and granite result of mutual compromise. The government strength, to take its place among the powers of under which we live, has no other original basis, the earth.

The convention at Philadelphia, which framed The Northern Atlantic States were commercial objects of the Convention than that of Slavery, the institutions of Christianity.

At the South, Slavery had incorporated itself into all the relations of society. The character of importance of controlling the Valley of the Mis-Southern climate and products had stimulated sissippi, and the outlet to the Gulf of Mexico. existence at the North, it had no such relations to northern capital or industry as promised permanency to the system. Hence arose the conflicting political and moral views in the conventional management of Louisians. In tion on this great question.

doubtful struggle. But at length the last State Sir, let us look for a moment at the basis of the gave in its adhesion, and then arose this gov-

the Constitution of the United States, represent-States; commerce, everywhere a leading and ed the most extreme views, and the most antag-controling element, ever has been, and ever will onistic interests of the several States. Of all be, aggressive. And when united to it are the questions which patriotism was there called up-higher elements of civilization, it elevates where on to settle, none more threateningly menaced the it subdues, and becomes the advance-guard of

1804. Louisiana, in pursuance of the treaty, was

erected into two territories, and the act so organito the North, by surrendering forever the terrizing the territory, made many restrictions upon tory acquired from France, and lying north of the slave traffic. In due time Louisiana, proper, 36 degrees 30 minutes, to freedom. A patriot-was admitted as a State, and of necessity and ism which this age may well imitate and revere, without objection as a slave State. So matters responded to the call. The principle of the rested until 1819-20, when arose that storm amendment proposed by New York through Mr. which convulsed the country to its centre, and STORRS, was adopted. Missouri became a sovwhose angry blasts still linger in our ears .- ereign State, with slavery, but upon a considera-Missouri knocked at the door of the Union, and tion, upon a solemn pledge, emphatic and irreasked equal privileges with the other states. A vocable; solemn as plighted faith could make it, part of the territory ceded by France in 1803, positive as enactments could decree it, that and of the Louisiana purchase, she was ready slavery should be forever excluded north of 36 to enter the sisterhood of States. Sir, let us degrees 30 minutes, from the Louisiana purchase. briefly review the history of that contest. Let After the admission of Missouri, Arkansas us see the part acted by New York, in that con-came into the Union with slavery. She was entroversy, and we shall be the better prepared to titled to admission under the compromise of 1820. meet the argument of Senator Douglass. Mis-The North acquiesced, in good faith, paid the souri asked to come in as a slave State. The bond. The country has long regarded that southern States insisted upon her admission as a question as at rest, not a rest for a generation or matter of right. The northern States conceded a century, but a final, and eternal rest. Sir, let the propriety of her admission as a free State, me recur a moment to the action of the Legislabut denied the right she claimed to enter as a ture of New-York, pending this controversy. slave State. At the same time, the State of On the 20th of January 1820, and while the con-Maine, from the northern Atlantic coast, urged troversy was the most violent, her Legislature her claim to admission into the Union. Her passed a preamble and resolution, instructing right was unquestiond, but the southern States their representatives in Congress "to oppose the sought to authorize the admission of the two admission as a State into the Union of any ter-States by one act, for the purpose of securing the ritory not comprised within the original bounadmission of Missouri with slavery. Such andary of the United States, without making the act passed the Senate of the United States. The prohibition of slavery therein an indispensable House of Representatives refused to conenr, and condition of admission." Maine was admitted by a separate and independent act. Then the controversy was narrowed she would have resisted to this day, but for a down to the admission of Missouri, and a great compromise which surrendered a controverted part of that angry discussion arose upon two right for a forever conceded advantage. There

amendments to the bill, authorizing the unquali-were within the then unknown future of the confied admission of Missouri, or amendments simi-troverted territory, four States. New York with lar to them, introduced by two representatives the other free States, insisted that all of them from New York. Mr. Taylor, of this State, in-should come in with the slavery prohibition, the troduced an amendment to the act admitting south resisted the demand. It was adjusted by Missouri, prohibitory of slavery in the State yielding Missouri and Arkansas to slavery, and Mr. Storrs, of New York, introduced another Nebraska and Kansas then undeveloped and unamendment, and which contains the principle of christened, to freedom. Such was the bond.the ultimate compromise, and is in the following New York has discharged her part of the obliganguage: tion, will the South discharge hers? It is a "That in all that tract of country ceded by question as vital as the very existence of the language:

France to the United States; under the name or Union. Louisiana, which lies North of thirty-six degrees But sir, the Nebraska bill alluded to in the and thirty minutes north latitude, excepting only resolutions, declares the prohibition of slavery such part thereof as is included within the lim-in the compromise of 1820 to be inoperative, its of the State contemplated by this act, there and to be repealed by the compromise measures shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servi- of 1850. This is a proposition easily stated. tude, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes Sir, the compromise of 1820, stood isolated from whereof the party shall have been duly convict-levery other relation then present or future. It ed." It also provided for the surrender of fugitive related solely to the territory acquired from France, and known as the Louisiana purchase.

Pending the debate upon the several amend-It might possibly have some bearing upon the ments, raged that storm which threatened the permission of slavery in subsequently acquired very existence of the government. It was of this erritory south of \$6.30. But if so, it would fearful conflict that Mr. Jefferson said it was with equal force exclude slavery north of that

"the most portentous that ever threatened the line.

Union, that in the gloomiest moment of the Re- The Compromise of 1850 was independent of volutionary war, he never had any apprehensions all past Compromises, and entirely original in all equal to that he felt from that controversy." It its bearings and relations. Let us examine its

was in the midst of this conflict of opinion and bistory.

passion that the "great Pacificator," Mr. CLAY.

The acquisition of our Territery from Mexico invoked that principle which lies at the basis was the result of a National war, and whatever of all social and political organization He called may have been the ethics of that contest, I reupon the country to make another sacritice upon gard that aequisition as the natural result of our the altar of patriotism. He invoked the North institutious.

to quiet the South by admitting Missouri, with- Had we not acquired it when we did, and as we out restriction. He invoked the South to yield did, its acquisition at some time, and in some

manner, was one of the facts of our destiny. So cannot argue it away. To override it in the certain as the weaker yields before the stronger, so certain as an inferior society yields before the Superior power of a higher civilization, so certain as a keen scented, earnest, grasping comvertain as a keen scented, grasping comvertain as a keen scented, earnest, grasping comvertain as a keen scented, grasping comve

tain was the star of our Empire to "Westward Law, and may be repealed as any other calm waters of the Pacific.

But it is argued that the Compromise of 1820, take its way," until it should mirror itself in the was but a law, and may be repealed as any other calm waters of the Pacific.

Our Mexican Territory was acquired by treaty I do not claim for it that it is technically a and became National domain. Before we were fairly recovered from our surprise over so students, california knocked at the door has the binding force of them all. While in form of the Union with a free constitution, and demanded admission to the sisterbood of States.—

The South was disappointed, she felt that the balance of power was departing from her. She by agreed that the territory north of 36 30, said she had contributed her money and her men should be forever free. Can the South take and to secure this domain and she insisted that the keep what we parted with, and now refuse the flag of the country should protect her property price, without consent of the other contracting in all its forms on every inch of this new party? Can she now say that a second comprom-National domain. The history of that contest is destroys the first? What will she say of a which threatened, as I then believed, and now third compromise—that it destroyed the vecond? If this be the rule, the fewer compromises we have the theorem of the country should be troversy and most dissentient opinions, the Commore than conviction with the North.

promise measures relating solely to the territory acquired from Mexico, became fixed laws and in-the statesmen and political writers of that time, stitutions. If for the sake of a natural boundary I find that the Editor of Niles' Register, than a fragment was excluded from the Louisiana teri-whom few men were more accurate observers of tory it was not of consequence to change the political events, spoke of it as having the bind-principle.

principle. ing moral force of a constitutional provision. I The positions taken by Senator Douglas are read Sir, from Niles' Register of March 11, 1820,

The positions taken by Senator Douglas are read Sir, from Niles' Register of March 11, 1820, involved in two propositions. First, that these from an article entitled "The Slave Question," measures repealed the Compromise of 1820. In which he says:—"It is true the Compromise Second, that they were the adoption of a new is supported only by the letter of a law, repealapolicy and doctrine, to wit: that any State has a ble by the authority which enacted it, but the right to demand admission into the Union, with circumstances of the case give to this law a or without slavery, irrespective of the location of moral force, equal to that of a positive provision is territory, or the manner of its acquisition.

Sir, I shared the sentiments of those northern thing by saying, that the Constitution exists in statesmen who in 1850 were instrumental in bring-its observance." This view met the written aping about that Compromise. I closely watched proval of the most eminent statesmen of that

and personally listened to much of the debates time.

in Congress during that angry discussion, and Sir, this reasoning of the Illinois Senator, innot a syllable every reached my eye or my ear terpolates a fraud into the record. Who believes sanctioning these alarming propositions. Is the for a moment, that the measures of 1850, could Missouri Compromise repealed in terms? is it in ever have been passed, if they had contained in spirit? Was it claimed in any speech of any so many words a repeal of the Compromise of member of Congress, North or South? No, sir; 1820? If in addition to what they gave the that Compromise stood alone, on its own basis, South, they had also declared that what was and forever inviolable. The Senator from Illi-given to the North in 1820, and which alone nois declares that those magic words "The peo-calmed the storm that threatened to overwhelm ple of the United States do enact," &c., were us, was to be transferred to slavery? That that That they not only made bargain was to be cancelled, and that too withmore than creative. a new Compromise in relation to new territory, out the shadow of an equivalent. Sir, the prop-freshly acquired, but without language to ex-osition would have been trampled under the feet press it, or innuendo to allude to it, destroyed an of an indignant people, with scorn and defiance. adjustment in relation to the Louisiana purchase Sir, a bargain is a bargain, and because it is such, which we had held for nearly half a century. I, with multitudes of conservative men at the and under which the country had reposed in con-North, conceded to the South her fugitive slave fidence for thirty years. Sir, this proposition is act, in 1850. Let her beware how she forfeits utterly unsustained. The Compromise measures our confidence in a good faith, which must be

of 1850 were not destructive. They constituted reciproc I, or bind nobody. a new bond, a new compact, in its moral force, between the free and the slave States, in relation to matters wholly independent.

But there is another proposition more startling, if possible, than that I have noticed. It is that the measures of 1850, engrafted a new poli-

The acts that affixed the seal to this new cy upon the government. That it relinquished bond, did not raze the seal from the old one, all claim or right on the part of Congress, to They left it untoushed in letter and spirit. It regulate slavery in the territories, or give qualisis there Sir Words cannot rail it off, casuistry field admission to new States into the Union, come

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS they from where they may. If this be true, Sir, the result 0 011 897

She is asked to keep the compact in

rior

the wer

the

the followers of the Veiled Prophet, were not race; to more "duption/storesae paylocorn/wancestained the compromise of 1850. Because we to human acquiesced in granting to the South, nay insisted policy sho that there should be granted her, her constitu-slavery within its limits, except under cucumtional rights; because, in addition, we were will-stances like those which have two or three times ing to yield something in the "spirit of am-occurred since the formation of the government, ity," and that something expressly "nomina-and which can hardly again arise. Its abandonted in the bond," did we yield everything? Did ment will not be conceded through a falsified we turn propagandists of her institutions? From record. No, sir; the north, I trust, will ever adthe organization of the government there has here to the Compromises of the Constitution, and been but one opinion at the North, and hardly a to all other compromises which patriotism, amid divided one at the South, that slavery was an conflicting but honest opinion, has been called evil. That it degraded labor, that it weakened to make, but beyond this she must not be pressed the strength of States, and aside from its moral to go. considerations, of which I do not speak, was good faith, let it be reciprocal, and she will keep it. upon great principles of public policy, to be kept | Sir, I distrust the source whence this Nebraska within its original limits. This was the policy bill emanates. I say to the South, she should of the government, and it has been waived only fear the Greeks offering gifts. It comes from a under circumstances, when concession seemed to Presidential adventurer, who, in the last National be duty. To this we trace the action of the Democratic Convention, received fewer votes States in relation to the North Western Territory, than he expected, more than he deserved, forand of Congress in relation to the Louisiana tunately for the country, not enough to secure purchase. In this we have but followed the en-his end. It comes from the peculiar represen-lightened sentiments of all nations. Suppose the tative of "Young America," who hold nothing result claimed by the Senator from Illinois did sacred in the past, who oppose on principle or not arise from the unseen magic of the measures passion all conservatism, and run rampant of 1850, but from express enactment, what rea-over all institutions which interpose barriers to son could be given for overthrowing this long the attainment of their ends. I feel it my duty settled policy? Did our fathers, and have we to do what my predecessor in 1820 did, and opmistaken the effects of slavery upon society?—pose this President hunting ambition. I trust to Docs it really clevate labor, does it dignify" o'er leap itself." He voted instructions, thirty-States, does it tend to develope the highest ele-four years ago, to our representatives, to oppose ments of a people, to have this institution in the admission of Missouri as a slave State. New their midst? Were our fathers in error, when by York consented to that admission upon a solemn positive act they prohibited it from Ohio, Indiana, compact. That compact it is now proposed to and Illinois? Was it a mistake on the part of violate, and that not in a bold manly way, but our own State, that we abolished it years ago? through a fraud and a cheat! If I could reach No sir, no sir.

the ear of the south, I would exhort her to be-The reason for this policy grows more potent ware, that it is dangerous to accept this gift, with every rising sun. The proposition, if true, that the honest convictions of many northern sends us back to the dark ages of public opinion. men deemed the Compromises of 1850 just and Let me not be misunderstood in this connection, proper, and called for by the exigencies of the I have no sympathy with northern anti-slavery times, and affording a protection to the institu-I have entertained extreme con-tions of slavery, beyond which it was not safe to fanaticism. servative sentiments on this subject. My oppopass. I would say to her, we are a conservative sition to the extension of the institution does people and a reasoning people, but we have also not rest upon the humanity or the legalities of the instincts. Reason is slow and calculating. Inrelation of master and slave. Of these I say stinct is not slow, but rapid as the lightning, and nothing; upon them I base neither sentiment or consuming as the fires it kindles.

conduct; I look beyond and higher. I look at

http://stores.ebay.com/Ancestry-Found

http://stores.ebay.com/Ancestry-Found

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

0 011 897 819 5